[DO NOT PUBLISH]

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT	777.77
TOR THE BEEVENTH CIRCUIT	FILED
	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
N 05 16007	ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
No. 05-16227	AUG 9, 2006
Non-Argument Calendar	THOMAS K. KAHN
	CLERK
D. C. Docket No. 05-00042-CR-3-L	AC
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff-Appellee,
	r iamum-rippence,
versus	
JAMES ERNEST LUCKIE,	
	Defendant-Appellant.
	
Appeal from the United States District for the Northern District of Florida	
(August 9, 2006)	
Before TJOFLAT, BLACK and BARKETT, Circuit Judge	S.

E. Brian Lang, appointed counsel for James Ernest Luckie, has moved to withdraw from further representation of Luckie, because, in his opinion, the appeal

PER CURIAM:

is without merit. Counsel has filed a brief pursuant to <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, 18 L.Ed.2d 493 (1967). Independent review of the entire record reveals that counsel's assessment of the relative merit of the appeal is correct. Because independent examination of the entire record reveals no arguable issues of merit, counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and Luckie's convictions and sentences are **AFFIRMED**.